UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/555,921 | 11/04/2005 | Frederick Leslie Brown | CUNANT 1716US | 4319 |
| DAVIS & BUJO | 7590 03/29/201 OLD, P.L.L.C. | EXAMINER | | |
| 112 PLEASANT STREET | | | WILLIAMS, MAURICE L | |
| CONCORD, N | H U33U1 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3611 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/29/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 10/555,921 | BROWN, FREDE | BROWN, FREDERICK LESLIE | |
| | Art Unit | | |
| MAURICE WILLIAMS | 3611 | | |
| | | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Review filed 2 March 2010. |
|---|--|
| Improper Request – The Freason(s): | Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following |
| The request does not inc | s not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. |
| | nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ommunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains units required to submit an appeal borief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no | nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal h from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period office of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able. |
| The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from co | |
| | conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by |
| | conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time. |
| All participants: | |
| (1) MAURICE WILLIAMS. | (3) <u>Paul Dickson /PD/</u> . |
| (2) <u>Lesley Morris /LDM/</u> . | (4) |
| /Maurice Williams/ Examiner, Art Unit 3611 | /LESLEY D MORRIS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3611 |

the